

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	1
SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Preface.....	S-1
S.2 Purpose and Need.....	S-1
S.3 Project Background	S-1
S.4 Alternatives.....	S-2
S.4.1 Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative.....	S-2
S.4.2 Proposed Action	S-2
S.5 Affected Environment	S-6
S.6 Summary of Impacts.....	S-8
S.6.1 Natural Resources	S-8
S.6.2 Cultural and Paleontological Resources.....	S-10
S.6.3 Socioeconomic Resources	S-10
S.6.4 Transportation.....	S-11
S.6.5 Air Quality.....	S-11
S.6.6 Noise.....	S-11
S.6.7 Hazardous Waste and Materials	S-11
S.6.8 Indirect and Cumulative Effects.....	S-11
S.6.9 Section 4(f) Evaluation	S-11
S.7 Agency Coordination and Public Involvement	S-11
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	ix
CHAPTER 1 – PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
1.1 Purpose of the Proposed Action	1-1
1.2 Needs for the Proposed Action	1-1
1.2.1 Infrastructure Deficiencies	1-3

1.2.2 Safety 1-5

1.2.3 System Linkage 1-6

1.2.4 Legislation 1-6

1.3 Project Overview 1-7

1.3.1 Background 1-7

1.3.2 Description of the Project Area 1-7

1.4 Project Goals..... 1-9

1.5 Design Considerations..... 1-9

1.5.1 Roadway Considerations 1-10

1.5.2 Bicycle and Pedestrian Considerations 1-10

1.5.3 Stormwater Management Considerations 1-10

1.6 Relationship to Other Plans and Studies 1-10

1.6.1 District of Columbia Bicycle Master Plan 1-11

1.6.2 District of Columbia Pedestrian Master Plan 1-11

1.6.3 Rock Creek Trail Project 1-11

1.6.4 Rock Creek Watershed Implementation Plan 1-11

1.6.5 Military Road/Missouri Avenue Transportation Study 1-12

1.6.6 Comprehensive Plan of the National Capital 1-12

1.6.7 Rock Creek Park General Management Plan 1-12

1.6.8 Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance Project 1-13

CHAPTER 2 – ALTERNATIVES AND OPTIONS..... 2-1

2.1 Alternatives Development Process..... 2-1

2.2 No Action Alternative 2-4

2.3 Proposed Action 2-4

2.3.1 Preferred Alternative/Alternative 3 Modified 2-5

2.3.2 Candidate Build Alternatives 2-11

2.4 Other Alternatives and Options Considered 2-16

2.4.1 Roadway Alternatives..... 2-16

2.4.2 Traffic Calming 2-18

2.4.3 Nebraska Avenue Intersection Improvement Options 2-19

CHAPTER 3 – AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT 3-1

3.1 Natural Resources 3-1

3.1.1 Geology, Soils, and Topography 3-1

3.1.2 Water Resources 3-4

3.1.3 Wildlife including Threatened and Endangered Species 3-8

3.1.4	Vegetation.....	3-9
3.2	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-10
3.2.1	Area of Potential Effects	3-12
3.2.2	Cultural Contexts.....	3-13
3.2.3	Archeological Resources.....	3-14
3.2.4	Historic Structures.....	3-16
3.2.5	Cultural Landscapes.....	3-25
3.2.6	Ethnographic Resources	3-26
3.2.7	Museum Collections.....	3-26
3.2.8	Indian Trust Resources and Native American Sacred Sites	3-26
3.2.9	Paleontological Resources	3-27
3.3	Socioeconomic Resources.....	3-28
3.3.1	Land Use	3-28
3.3.2	Zoning	3-28
3.3.3	Demographics	3-28
3.3.4	Environmental Justice	3-29
3.3.5	Economics and Development	3-29
3.3.6	Joint Development.....	3-29
3.3.7	Aesthetics and Visual Quality	3-29
3.3.8	Health and Safety	3-30
3.3.9	Community Resources.....	3-31
3.3.10	Utilities and Infrastructure.....	3-31
3.4	Transportation	3-33
3.4.1	Pedestrian and Bicycle Network	3-33
3.4.2	Road Network.....	3-34
3.4.3	Transit	3-37
3.5	Air Quality	3-37
3.5.1	Regional Conformity	3-37
3.5.2	Project-Level CO Conformity	3-38
3.5.3	Project-Level Fine Particulate Matter (PM2.5) Conformity	3-38
3.5.4	Mobile Source Air Toxics.....	3-39
3.5.5	Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Impacts.....	3-39
3.6	Noise and Vibration.....	3-39
3.6.1	Noise Criteria	3-39
3.6.2	Existing Conditions	3-39
3.7	Hazardous Waste/Materials	3-42

3.8 Energy Conservation 3-42

CHAPTER 4 – ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... 4-1

4.1 Natural Resources 4-2

 4.1.1 Geology, Soils, and Topography 4-2

 4.1.2 Water Resources 4-4

 4.1.3 Wildlife including Threatened and Endangered Species 4-9

 4.1.4 Vegetation..... 4-11

4.2 Cultural and Paleontological Resources 4-12

 4.2.1 Archeological Resources..... 4-13

 4.2.2 Historic Structures..... 4-17

 4.2.3 Cultural Landscapes..... 4-20

 4.2.4 Ethnographic Resources 4-21

 4.2.5 Museum Collections..... 4-21

 4.2.6 Indian Trust Resources and Native American Sacred Sites 4-21

 4.2.7 Paleontological Resources 4-21

 4.2.8 Cultural and Paleontological Resources Summary 4-21

4.3 Socioeconomic Resources..... 4-23

 4.3.1 Land Use 4-23

 4.3.2 Demographics 4-23

 4.3.3 Environmental Justice 4-24

 4.3.4 Economics and Development 4-24

 4.3.5 Joint Development..... 4-24

 4.3.6 Aesthetics and Visual Quality 4-24

 4.3.7 Health and Safety 4-25

 4.3.8 Community Resources..... 4-26

 4.3.9 Utilities and Infrastructure..... 4-28

4.4 Transportation 4-28

 4.4.1 Bicycle and Pedestrian Network 4-28

 4.4.2 Road Network..... 4-29

 4.4.3 Transit 4-30

4.5 Air Quality 4-32

4.6 Noise and Vibration..... 4-33

4.7 Hazardous Waste and Materials 4-34

4.8 Energy Conservation 4-35

4.9 Indirect and Cumulative Effects 4-35

4.10 Permits and Authorizations..... 4-38

 4.10.1 Hazardous Materials Sites..... 4-38

 4.10.2 Water Quality..... 4-38

 4.10.3 Tree Removal 4-38

 4.10.4 Floodplains 4-39

 4.10.5 Park..... 4-39

 4.10.6 Cultural Resources 4-39

4.11 Section 4(f) Evaluation..... 4-39

4.12 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources 4-39

CHAPTER 5 – AGENCY COORDINATION AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT 5-1

5.1 Agency Coordination..... 5-1

5.2 Public Involvement 5-2

CHAPTER 6 – LIST OF PREPARERS 6-1

CHAPTER 7 – ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DISTRIBUTION 7-1

CHAPTER 8 – REFERENCES 8-1

CHAPTER 9 – INDEX 9-1

APPENDIX A – IMPROVEMENT CONCEPTS..... A-1

**APPENDIX B – CONCEPTUAL ALIGNMENT PLANS – PREFERRED
ALTERNATIVEB-1**

**APPENDIX C – PROJECT COST ESTIMATE ASSUMPTIONS
AND EXCLUSIONS C-1**

APPENDIX D – POTENTIAL DETOUR PLANS D-1

APPENDIX E – AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS – CULTURAL RESOURCESE-1

APPENDIX F – RESPONSES TO AGENCY COMMENTS F-1

**APPENDIX G – RESPONSES TO PUBLIC HEARING
TRANSCRIPT COMMENTS..... G-1**

APPENDIX H – RESPONSES TO ORGANIZATIONS’ COMMENTSH-1

APPENDIX I – RESPONSES TO CITIZENS’ COMMENTS.....I-1

APPENDIX J – PUBLIC MEETING NOTICES AND ADVERTISEMENTS.....J-1

APPENDIX K – PRIORITY SIDEWALK ASSURANCE ACT OF 2010..... K-1

APPENDIX L – HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC TECHNICAL REPORTSL-1

APPENDIX M – TRAFFIC COUNT AND VOLUME ANALYSIS M-1

APPENDIX N – AGENCY SCOPING LETTERS.....N-1

APPENDIX O – SECTION 106 CORRESPONDENCE O-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure S-1. Existing Environmental Resources in Project Area.....S-7

Figure 1-1. Project Location 1-2

Figure 1-2. Deteriorated Infrastructure along Oregon Avenue..... 1-3

Figure 1-3. Examples of Drainage Issues 1-4

Figure 1-4. Pinehurst Run Culvert..... 1-5

Figure 1-5. Rural-like Setting of Roadway Corridor 1-8

Figure 2-1. “Building Blocks” to Roadway Concepts 2-2

Figure 2-2. Corridor Sections..... 2-3

Figure 2-3. Preferred Alternative/Alternative 3 Modified, Southern Section..... 2-6

Figure 2-4. Preferred Alternative/Alternative 3 Modified Northern Section 2-6

Figure 2-5. Typical Sections – Preferred Alternative/Alternative 3 Modified 2-7

Figure 2-6. Stormwater Management Elements 2-9

Figure 2-7. Stream Crossing Options 2-10

Figure 2-8. Alternative 2..... 2-11

Figure 2-9. Typical Sections – Alternative 2 2-12

Figure 2-10. Alternative 3 Roadway between Nebraska Avenue and Western Avenue 2-14

Figure 2-11. Typical Sections – Alternative 3 2-15

Figure 2-12. Alternative 4 Roadway between Nebraska Avenue and Western Avenue 2-16

Figure 2-13. Typical Sections – Alternative 4 2-17

Figure 2-14. Intersection Options..... 2-19

Figure 3-1. Water Resources 3-5

Figure 3-2. Ornamental and Natural Landscapes 3-11

Figure 3-3. Headwall on the west side of the Culvert South of Bingham Drive..... 3-19

Figure 3-4. Stone Boundary Marker for Rock Creek Park (at base of witness post)..... 3-20

Figure 3-5. Ca. 1940s Boundary Marker..... 3-20

Figure 3-6. Guardrail at Western Avenue 3-21

Figure 3-7. Roadway Guardrails along Pinehurst Run 3-21

Figure 3-8. Brick Manhole and Concrete Headwall in Deer Print Run..... 3-21

Figure 3-9. Box Culvert over Pinehurst Run 3-22

Figure 3-10. View of the east (rear) elevation of Knoll House, the stone Building at the Knollwood Retirement Facility, from the slope along Oregon Avenue, facing southwest..... 3-24

Figure 3-11. View of the east elevation of “Knoll House” and the 1993 Knollwood dormitory addition facing northwest from the slope along Oregon Avenue showing intrusion to the setting (Parsons 2011)..... 3-24

Figure 3-12. Rural-like Setting of Oregon Avenue 3-30

Figure 3-13. NPS Scenic Easements 3-30

Figure 3-14. Lack of Sidewalks along Oregon Avenue..... 3-34

Figure 3-15. Traffic Volumes 3-36

Figure 3-16. Bus Stop at Unicorn Lane 3-37

Figure 3-17. Noise Sensitive Receptor Locations..... 3-41

Figure 4-1. 2030 Traffic Volumes 4-31

Figure A-1. “Building Blocks” to Roadway Concepts A-1

Figure A-2. Concepts Developed by General Public..... A-2

Figure A-3. Concepts Developed by Agency Representatives A-5

Figure B-1. Key Map B-1

Figure B-2. Alternative 3 Modified B-3

Figure D-1. Potential Detour – Phase 1 D-2

Figure D-2. Potential Detour – Phase 2 D-3

Figure D-3. Potential Detour – Phase 3 D-4

Figure E-1. Area of Potential Effects E-3

LIST OF TABLES

Table S-1. Summary of Impacts..... S-13

Table 3-1. Soil Types in Corridor 3-2

Table 3-2. Archeological Resources within the Oregon Avenue Archeological APE..... 3-15

Table 3-3. Historic Structures in the Oregon Avenue Architectural APE 3-17

Table 3-4. District of Columbia and Area Population..... 3-28

Table 3-5. Intersection Turning Movement Counts..... 3-35

Table 3-6. FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria..... 3-40

Table 3-7. Noise Sensitive Receptor Measurement Levels3-42

Table 3-8. Common Noise Levels3-42

Table 4-1. Areas of Disturbance (in square feet)4-4

Table 4-2. Pinehurst Run Limits of Disturbance (in linear feet)4-5

Table 4-3. Floodplain Encroachments (in square feet)4-7

Table 4-4. Comparison of Water Treatment System Capabilities (Infiltration Volumes
in cubic feet)4-8

Table 4-5. Utility Relocations4-28

Table 4-6. Construction Phasing / Maintenance of Traffic4-30

Table 4-7. Existing and Year 2030 Intersection Levels of Service4-30

Table 4-8. Summary of Cumulative Effects4-37

Table C-1. Conceptual Level Cost EstimateC-1

Table C-2. Conceptual Construction Cost Estimate – Preferred Alternative 3 ModifiedC-4

Table C-3. Conceptual Construction Cost Estimate – Alternative 2C-6

Table C-4. Conceptual Construction Cost Estimate – Alternative 3C-8

Table C-5. Conceptual Construction Cost Estimate – Alternative 4C-10

Table D-1. Construction Phasing / Maintenance of TrafficD-1

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ABC	Accelerated Bridge Construction
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
AIRFA	American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978
ANC	Advisory Neighborhood Commission
APE	Area of Potential Effects
ARPA	Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	Best Management Practices
BOR	Bureau of Reclamation
CAA	Clean Air Act of 1970
CAAA	1990 Clean Air Act Amendments
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFA	Commission of Fine Arts
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cf	Cubic Feet
cfs	Cubic feet per second
CLRP	Constrained Long Range Plan
CO	Carbon monoxide
CWA	Clean Water Act

CZARA	Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments of 1990
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
CZMP	Coastal Zone Management Plan
dBA	decibels
DBH	Diameter at Breast Height
DCHPO	District of Columbia Historic Preservation Office
DCMR	District of Columbia Municipal Regulations
DCOP	District of Columbia Office of Planning
DC Water	District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority (formerly WASA)
DDOE	DC Department of the Environment
DDOH	DC Department of Health
DDOT	District Department of Transportation
DEQ	Department of Environmental Quality
DO	Director's Order
DPR	District of Columbia Department of Parks and Recreation
The District	District of Columbia
EA	Environmental Assessment
EO	Executive Order
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FY	Fiscal Year
GHG	Green House Gases
GIS	Geographic Information System
GMP	General Management Plan

KV	Kilovolt
LID	Low Impact Development
LOS	Level of Service
LWCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
mph	Miles per Hour
MSAT	Mobile Source Air Toxics
msl	Mean sea level
MUTCD	Manual on Uniform Traffic Control
MWCOG	Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAGRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990
NCPC	National Capital Planning Commission
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOI	Notice of Intent
NO _x	Nitrogen Oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPS	National Park Service
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NRI	National Rivers Inventory
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
ORV	Outstandingly Remarkable Values
PEPCO	Potomac Electric Power Company
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns

PM10	particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns
PRPA	Paleontological Resources Protection Act of 2009
RCPHD	Rock Creek Park Historic District
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
sf	Square Feet
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SWDC	Special Waters of the District of Columbia
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
vpd	Vehicles per Day
WASA	District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority (now DC Water)
WMATA	Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority
WOUS	Waters of the United States
WPD	Watershed Protection Division